

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,132	02/16/2000	WILLIAM R. SPRAGUE	SPRAGUE-REI-	8479	
75	90 12/13/2002				
LAW OFFICES OF ROYAL W. CRAIG			EXAMINER		
SUITE 153	LVERT STREET		GEHMAN, BRYON P		
BALTIMORE,	MD 21202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/12/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 12/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/458,132	SPRAGUE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Bryon P. Gehman	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application	a in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	· L. Fala	clos			
a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	EP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension tending the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplif	ying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed ame	ndment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT pla	ce the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were nev	wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			n			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4,16 and 17</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- Buyul &	L			
0. Other:						
		Bryon P. Gehn Palmary Exami				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/458 332

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment of claims 5 and 11 is improper, as those claims were cancelled in paper #15, and can not be added back at this time. Also, claims 16 and 17 must be resubmitted in proper form in conformance with MPEP 1453, as added claims i reissues must be entirely underlined.